Survey of clinical leaders on Senior Medical Officer staffing needs:
Southern District Health Board

The Association of Salaried Medical Specialists (ASMS) is examining Senior Medical Officer (SMO) staffing levels at selected District Health Boards (DHBs) via a survey of clinical leaders covering all hospital departments. The aim is to assess, in the view of clinical leaders, how many SMO Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) are needed to provide a safe and quality service for patients, including patients in need of treatment but unable to access it. This Research Brief presents the findings of the fifteenth survey, at Southern DHB.

Background

Data produced by the Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) show New Zealand has one of the lowest number of specialists per head of population out of 32 countries.¹

The extent of medical specialist shortages in New Zealand has been well documented by the ASMS.² But while workforce shortages affect access to health care, as well as the quality, safety and efficiency of public hospital services, they go largely unnoticed by the general public, in part because the shortages are so entrenched. Coping with shortages has become the norm for many public hospital departments.

SMO shortages have also contributed to a growing unmet health need, and even those who qualify for treatment often face delays before they receive it. Commonwealth Fund studies of the performance of health systems in 11 comparable countries place New Zealand 7th for emergency department waiting times, 9th for waits for treatment after diagnosis, 9th for waits for elective surgery, and 10th-equal for access to diagnostic tests (eg, CT, MRI scans etc). On a measure of mortality amenable to health care, that is, deaths that could have been prevented with timely care, New Zealand was placed 10th.³

An indication of the true state of the medical workforce is illustrated in a major ASMS study which found many DHB-employed SMOs routinely go to work when they are ill.⁴ The main reasons for doing so include not wanting to let their patients down and not wanting to burden colleagues. A study of fatigue and burnout in the SMO workforce reveals further evidence of the immense pressure that senior doctors are under to hold the public health system together at the expense of their own health and wellbeing.⁵
In many cases SMOs are also sacrificing non-clinical work to deal with heavy clinical workloads. The SMO Commission’s inquiry into issues facing the workforce in 2008/09 found: “As clinical work takes precedence for most SMOs, high workloads have a major impact on non-clinical activities such as supervision and mentoring, education and training, and their own ongoing professional development and continuing medical education.”

All the indications are that this situation has not improved; if anything, it is now worse. Non-clinical time may not involve direct contact with patients but it is a vital part of SMOs’ work which ultimately has a significant effect on patient care and safety, as well as cost-efficiency.

None of this is good for delivering high quality patient-centred care which, according to a growing body of evidence, not only leads to better health outcomes for people, but also helps to reduce health care costs by improving safety and by decreasing the use of diagnostic testing, prescriptions, hospitalisations and referrals. Genuine patient-centred care will remain an aspiration in New Zealand until specialists are able to spend more quality time with patients and their families to develop the partnerships that lie at the heart of this approach.

Nor are specialist shortages good for distributed clinical leadership, which is critical for implementing patient-centred care. Making the best use of the experience and insights of specialist staff is vital for fostering an environment supporting high-quality patient-clinician interaction, for there is broad consensus that this is where ultimately patient-centred care is determined. Involving senior doctors in the design and implementation of patient-centred processes is an important way of ensuring the whole clinical team is engaged in these efforts.

There is now strong consensus internationally that distributed clinical leadership is the best model to meet the challenges facing health care systems around the world.

In view of these ongoing issues the ASMS is conducting a series of studies using a survey of clinical leaders in selected DHBs to ascertain how many specialists are required, in their assessment, to provide safe, good quality and timely health care. This report is the fifteenth in the series, which started with Hawke’s Bay DHB in February 2016. The estimated SMO staffing shortfall to provide safe, quality and timely health care according to all analysis to date is shown in Figure 1. The results of ASMS research on the effects of these shortfalls on the health and wellbeing of SMOs is summarised in Figure 2. The full results of the staffing surveys and research are available at the links below.

Source: ASMS surveys of clinical leaders. Full reports available: https://www.asms.org.nz/publications/researchbrief/
Figure 1: Estimated SMO staffing shortfall as a percentage of current staffing allocations

![Figure 1: Estimated SMO staffing shortfall as a percentage of current staffing allocations](https://www.asms.org.nz/publications/health-dialogue/)


**Figure 2: Indicators of the health and wellbeing of the senior medical workforce**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Median Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working through general illness</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working through infectious illness</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of dissatisfaction with recognition for good work</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of overall burnout</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of dissatisfaction with hours of work</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of work-related burnout</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of intentions to leave public health workforce</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Introduction

Between June and October 2019 the ASMS distributed an online questionnaire to clinical leaders (referred to as ‘Heads of Department’ (HoDs) for the purposes of this report) with immediate responsibility for specialty services at Southern DHB. We sought their assessment on the adequacy of SMO staffing levels in their respective departments. The DHB was surveyed separately by site with distinctions drawn between Dunedin and Southland including District based services. The analysis of their responses included a process to avoid double counting.

Responses were received from 16 of the 27 Dunedin based HoDs (59%) who were sent the survey and 13 of the 18 Southland and District based HoDs (72%). Overall this resulted in a 64% response rate for SDHB. The questions sought the HoDs’ estimates of staffing requirements to provide effective patient-centred care, which involves, among other things, SMOs spending more time with their patients so they are better informed about their condition, their treatment, treatment options, and benefits and risks. Patient-centred care has been shown to not only improve the quality of care and health outcomes for patients, but also improve health service efficiency and cost-effectiveness.7

Questions also sought estimated staffing requirements to allow SMOs adequate access to non-clinical time and leave, both of which are crucial for providing safe and effective care. For example, the ASMS has previously reported on the high levels of ‘presenteeism’, where SMOs are turning up to work sick, in part because of insufficient short-term sick leave cover.1

The aim of this study - and similar studies either underway or planned for other DHBs - is to highlight the effects that entrenched shortages of SMOs are likely to have on patient care. The data gathered will enable an objective assessment of the state of the SMO workforce and any resultant deficits which we hope will instil a greater sense of urgency in our health workforce planners to address workforce deficits.

Note: Responses are aggregated and do not report on individual departments for anonymity.

---

Summary of findings

Of the 45 HoDs contacted for participation in this research, 29 responded (64%), representing about 76% (194.17 FTEs) of the SMO FTE workforce at SDHB (overall total FTE 255.5).\textsuperscript{i}

Of the HoDs based in Dunedin, 75% assessed they had insufficient FTE SMOs for their services at the time of the survey; 62% of HoDs based in Southland and District made the same assessment.

Overall, the HoDs in Dunedin estimated they needed 34.5 more FTEs – or 32% of the current SMO staffing allocations in their departments – to provide safe, quality and timely health care at the time of the survey. Of those HoDs based in Southland and District, it was estimated they needed 17 more FTE which equated to 20% of their current SMO staffing allocations. Combined, the DHB was estimated to have a 26.5% staffing shortfall.

Despite the total estimated 51.5 FTE staffing shortfall, there were only 16.95 FTE vacancies at the time of the survey (7.3 FTE Southland and Districts and 9.65 Dunedin).

From the 29 HoD responses, 28% indicated their SMO staff are ‘never’ or ‘rarely’ able to access the recommended level of non-clinical time (30% of hours worked) to undertake duties such as quality assurance activities, supervision and mentoring, and education and training, as well as their own ongoing professional development and continuing medical education. Meanwhile, 34% said non-clinical time was accessible ‘sometimes’ and 13% said ‘often’.

Overall 45% percent felt their SMO staff had insufficient time to undertake their training and education duties. At Dunedin, this estimation rose to 50%.

On average, 42% believed there was inadequate internal SMO backup cover for short-term sick leave, annual leave, continuing medical education (CME) leave or for covering training and mentoring duties while staff were away.

Of respondents, 55% considered there was inadequate access to locums or additional staff to cover for long-term leave. At Dunedin this estimation rose to 63%.

In an overall assessment of whether the current staffing level was sufficient for full use of appropriate leave taking as well as non-clinical time and training responsibilities, 69% of HoDs responded ‘no’. At Dunedin 75% of HoDs answered ‘no’.

Meanwhile, 48% of respondents felt their staff did not have adequate time to spend with patients and their families to provide good quality patient centred care. At Dunedin, this percentage rose to 63%. Overall, 38% of respondents believed their SMO staff had adequate time.

Findings

Adequacy of staffing levels

Of the 16 HoD respondents in Dunedin, 12 (75%) assessed they had inadequate FTE SMOs for their services at the time of the survey. Of the 13 HoD respondents in Southland and Districts, 8 (62%) assessed the same staffing shortfall.

Overall an estimated 51.5 more FTEs – or 26.5% of the current SMO staffing allocation in the 29 departments – were required to provide safe, quality and timely health care at the time of the survey. At Dunedin, an estimated 34.5 more FTEs were needed representing 32% of the current SMO staffing allocation at this site.

Despite the estimated 51.5 FTE staffing shortfall, there were only 16.95 FTE vacancies at the time of the survey (33% of the estimated shortfall).

Respondents’ comments frequently referred to workload pressures and challenges posed by having little flex in the system.

Accessing non-clinical time

The remainder of the survey assessed the views of HoDs concerning the ability of their senior staff to access non-clinical time, perform training and education duties and take leave of various types. The following section is broken down according to the questions asked in the survey.

How readily do you think SMOs are able to access the recommended 30% non-clinical time?

As detailed in Figure 3, 28% of respondents assessed that SMOs were ‘rarely’ or ‘never’ able to access their recommended 30% non-clinical time, while 38% estimated their staff are ‘sometimes’ able to access it, and 34% felt their staff ‘often’ or ‘always’ accessed it. This situation was worse at Dunedin with only a quarter stating their staff were often or always able to access their recommended non-clinical time.

As with earlier surveys, some respondents commented on the need to use their non-clinical time to cross cover leave and another noted that their clinicians were forced to use their personal time to access any non-clinical time at all.
Figure 3: Access of SMOs to the recommended 30% non-clinical time

**FTE assessment to provide time for training and education duties**

The next question ascertained views on whether specialists had enough time to participate in the training and education of resident medical officers (RMOs) as recommended by the 2009 SMO and RMO commissions. As detailed in Figure 4, 45% ‘disagreed’ or ‘strongly disagreed’ there was time for this, while 41% ‘agreed’ or ‘strongly agreed’. One respondent commented that while they ‘agreed’ they had time for training, “we would like to do more especially in terms of preparation for teaching. But as our NCT is eroded to work this doesn’t happen”. Another commented that “training duties done in NC time with no backfilling for formal College roles”.

Figure 4: Sufficient time for training and education duties?
SMO staffing levels and internal SMO cover to provide for short-term leave

On average, 42% indicated staffing levels were inadequate to allow for short-term sick leave, annual leave, CME leave or for covering training and mentoring duties while staff were away (Figure 5). Some respondents indicated there was no internal cover when the allocation was “insufficient to start with”. One respondent noted “Tight rota with on-call and outreach clinics. No capacity to cover for short term leave. RMO vacancies leave us scrambling.”. Another noted “We are currently very stretched, with little hope of going up to our “full” FTE soon. We are currently coping with covering the unfilled 0.9 FTE, but it is not sustainable, and anything could tip us over.”.

Figure 5: Sufficient internal SMO cover to provide for training and mentoring, short-term sick, CME and annual leave

Similarly, the next section sought to ascertain whether HoDs felt that their access to locums or other staff was sufficient to assist with other types of longer-term leave, including parental, sabbatical and secondment leave. As detailed in Figure 6, 55% of respondents ‘disagreed’ or ‘strongly disagreed’ access to locums was sufficient, while 24% ‘agreed’ or ‘strongly agreed’ there was adequate access. In Dunedin, 63% ‘disagreed’ or ‘strongly disagreed’ that access to locums was sufficient. Some respondents commented that locum cover could take a long time to find and could take “several years to find one”. Another respondent noted that having services staffed by locums can result “in significant extra work for permanent staff.”
The final question in this section sought an overall assessment of whether the current staffing level was sufficient for full use of appropriate leave-taking as well as non-clinical time and training responsibilities. In response, 69% at the DHB answered ‘no’ (Figure 7). At Dunedin, the percentage answering ‘no’ rose to 75%. One respondent commented “Not a chance. Because we are short staffed leave is challenging to allocate. And NCT has to be used to provide cross cover. And thats not adequately recognised. it becomes a vicious cycle”.

Figure 6: Sufficient access to locums or extra staff to enable full use of longer-term leave?

Figure 7: Sufficient SMO FTEs to enable full use of appropriate leave-taking, non-clinical time, including training responsibilities?
General Practitioner (GP) referrals and unmet need

The next area of inquiry focused on whether the specialties involved were actively referring patients back to GPs because they did not meet the DHB’s treatment/financial thresholds, and if, to their knowledge, GPs were holding back referrals in the first instance. As detailed in Tables 1 and 2, in respect of referrals back to GPs, 41% of respondents indicated their department did not refer patients back to their GPs; 34% said theirs did. Of all respondents, 52% believed GPs were not withholding referrals for first specialist assessments (FSAs); 17% believed they were. One respondent commented that “We avoid referring to outpatient services because we know they will often not be able to take them”. Another noted that “we provide excellent review and telephone consultation where there is doubt on part of GP”.

Table 1: Referrals back to GPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: GPs withholding referrals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time for Patient-Centred Care

The final section of the survey asked whether HoDs believed their staff had adequate time to spend with patients and, where appropriate, their families to provide patient-centred care. As illustrated in Figure 8, 38% reported their staff had time for quality patient-centred care; just under half (48%) felt they did not. One respondent noted that “we endeavour to do so but at times this is certainly less than optimal.”. Another noted that “Usually, but with the SMOs going beyond normal job plan to achieve this, e.g. creating extra clinics to allow time for prolonged consultations”. In Dunedin, 63% estimated that they did not have adequate time to spent with their patients.
Figure 8: Time for patients and their families?
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